

# Environmental Product Declaration

In accordance with ISO 14025 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for

Product family

Elitfönster Original Alu

Model

Outward opening Side hung  
window Alu

Product name

AFS

From

**Elitfönster AB**

**Box 153**

**574 22 Vetlanda**

**Publication date 2022-02-25**

Valid for 5 years until 2027-02-25

## Programme

The International EPD® System, [www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)

## Programme operator

EPD International AB

## EPD registration number

S-P-04896

An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change.  
The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at  
[www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)



**Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)** present transparent, verified and comparable information about the life-cycle environmental impact of products.

The International EPD® System is a global program for environmental declarations based on ISO 14025 and EN 15804. The EPD online database currently contains more than 1100 EPDs for a wide range of product categories by organisations in 45 countries.

## Company information

### Owner of the EPD

Elitfönster AB  
Honnörsgatan 2  
352 36 Växjö

### Description of the organisation

Elitfönster AB is with its wide range of windows, Sweden's leading window manufacturers with traditions from Småland since 1924. The company has about 1,000 employees and is represented throughout Sweden.

Since 2004 Elitfönster AB has been a part of Inwido. As Europe's leading window group, Inwido's business concept is to develop and sell the market's best customized window and door solutions through a decentralized structure and with a focus on the consumer-driven market, in order to create long-term sustainable growth, organically and through acquisitions. Inwido consists of 28 business units with approximately 4,300 employees in eleven countries.

### Contact/Certification and test manager

Mats Brånäs  
Tel 010-451 42 19  
Mobile 070-388 41 89  
E-mail mats.branas@elitfonster.se

### Product-related or management system-related certifications

ISO 9001:2015, ISO 14001:2015  
Sunda Hus, Byggvarubedömningen, Basta

### Average or specific EPD: Average

This EPD is averaged for the production of AFS in regard to the following differences.

- Standard and energy variations.  
The energy variation has up to 8% higher environmental impacts with the most differing impact category being abiotic depletion of elements (ADPE). Climate change has about 1% higher impacts for the energy type balcony door.
- Production sites  
Production is taking place in both Vetlanda and Lenhovda. An average weighted number is presented in the results table based on the production volume from the different sites. The most differing impacts is abiotic depletion of fossil fuels (ADPF). for Vetlanda it's 3% lower than the weighted value and in Lenhovda it's 1% higher. Climate change is 0,9% lower for Vetlanda and 0,2% higher in Lenhovda.

Since this difference is within +/-10%, for all cases described above, the results will be presented in one results table for 1 m<sup>2</sup> AFS with energy glass.



## Product information

### Outward opening Side hung window Alu - AFS

An outward opening side-hung window in wood with external aluminum cladding and an insulating glass with 3 glass planes. The window's case-ment is hung via hinges on the side piece and opens outwards.

The weight of the finished window is 38.84 kg per m<sup>2</sup>.

According to the Construction Products Regulation CPR (EU) no. 305/2011, the essential properties of products must be declared in the CE marking and Declaration of Performance. The technical properties of the window are reported in the following Declaration of performance, which can be accessed on Elitfönster's website.

DoP nr 61-29-CE1040201

A picture of Outward opening Side hung window Alu - AFS can be seen to the right.



## Product information

### Energy glass:

Energy glass consists of a float glass that is coated with a thin film of metal oxide that lets through short-wave solar energy and reflects long-wave room heat.

The coating is almost completely transparent, but there is some difference in light input between coated glass and uncoated glass.

Coated glass is used to achieve better insulating ability in a glass, by combining different numbers of coated glass in a window or insulating glass, you can achieve different levels of insulating ability for a window.

The greater the number of energy glasses a window has, the better the insulation capacity, but also the darker the glass.

### Gas:

An insulating glass consists of glass that are separated from each other by spacers, these spacers can be filled with gas such as argon to give the insulating glass a better insulating ability. Argon does not affect sunlight radiation but improves the insulating ability of the insulating glass. An insulating glass with two glasses consists of an argon gas-filled spacer, an insulating glass with three glasses has two spacers, here you can choose to fill one or both spacers with argon gas.

If you fill both distances with gas, you achieve a better insulation capacity than if only one distance is gas-filled.

By combining different sets of energy-coated glass and argon-filled glass spacers, you can get different glass properties for insulation and light input.

If you also combine these components with different types of glass spacing and dimensions of constituent components as well as different choices of type of glass, you have an almost infinite number of different combinations.

This EPD covers both standard and energy windows, the difference in results is described under "average or specific EPD". The results table is based on results of energy glass.

### Standard:

The insulating glass consists of three glasses separated by two glass spacers made of plastic (hot edge). The inner glass is energy coated and the inner glass spacer is filled with argon.

### Energy:

The same insulating glass construction as standard, except that both the inner and outer glass are energy-coated and that both glass spacers are filled with argon.



## LCA information

<b>Functional Unit</b>	<p>The functional unit used in this report is 1 m<sup>2</sup>. The weight of finished AFS is 38.84 kg per m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>Standard size for AFS is 1230 x 1480 mm</p>
<b>Reference Service Life (RSL)</b>	<p>The RSL is set to 50 years. The RSL is based on the fact that windows with aluminum-clad windows have a longer service life than similar windows made of PVC or wood.</p>
<b>Product group classification</b>	<p>UN CPC 42120</p>
<b>Goal and Scope</b>	<p>The result will be used to understand where the environmental burden for the product occurs during the life cycle and aim to lay a road map for development to reduce this burden. The result will be communicated by the International EPD system.</p>
<b>Manufacturing Site</b>	<p>Brogårdsgatan 1, 574 38, Vetlanda, Sverige, Industrigatan, 360 73, Lenhovda, Sverige</p>
<b>Geographical Area</b>	<p>Europe</p>
<b>Compliant with</b>	<p>This EPD follows the "Book-keeping" LCA approach which is defined as an attributional LCA in the ISO 14040 standard.</p> <p>The EPD is compliant with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ISO 14025</li> <li>• EN 15804:2012+A2:2019</li> <li>• Product Category Rules PCR 2019:14. Construction products and construction services. Version 1.11</li> <li>• Sub-PCR-007 Windows and doors (EN 17213)</li> </ul>
<b>Cut-Off Rules</b>	<p>The procedure below is followed for the exclusion of inputs and outputs according to the EN 15804:2012+ A2:2019 standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the case of insufficient input data or data gaps for a unit process, the cut-off criterion is 1 % of renewable and non-renewable primary energy usage and 1 % of the total mass input to that unit process.</li> <li>• The maximum neglected input flows per declared module (A1- A3) is 5 % of energy usage and mass.</li> </ul> <p>No cut-offs have been made concerning specific data in this study.</p>
<b>Background Data</b>	<p>The data quality of the background data is considered good. All site-specific data is collected from the year 2019. ecoinvent is the world's biggest LCI data library and the latest and most updated version was used. ecoinvent's data library contain data for the specific geographical regions relevant for this study.</p> <p>The assessment considers all available data from the production process, including all raw materials and auxiliary materials used as well as the energy consumption in relation to available ecoinvent 3.8 datasets for the manufacture of concrete piles.</p> <p>The background data from ecoinvent 3.8 are from 2016-2020</p>
<b>Electricity data</b>	<p>Electricity consumption in the A3 module comes from 100% wind power certified by Guarantee of Origin, Electricity is represented by data in ecoinvent 3.8 regionalized for Sweden.</p>

<b>Assumptions</b>	<p>Steel is sourced with 23% post-consumer iron scrap as is stated in the average European dataset for steel in ecoinvent 3.8</p> <p>In A4 the transport distance is assumed to be 320 km, based on average distances 2020.</p> <p>When installing and uninstalling the window no environmental aspects in addition to using of electrical machines is assumed according to installation instructions from Elitfönster.</p> <p>The window is assumed to require 60 ml/m<sup>2</sup> of cleaning solution and 10 ml/m<sup>2</sup> of lubrication oil per year.</p> <p>The used window is assumed to be transported 50km to the closest waste management facility. There it is disassembled, and the following waste treatment activities performed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Aluminum and steel are recycled at 90% collection rate</li> <li>- Glass is landfilled at 100% landfilling rate</li> <li>- Wood, paint, plastic, rubber and misc. is assumed to be incinerated with energy recovery at a municipal incineration plant at 90% incineration rate.</li> </ul> <p>Waste not recycled or incinerated is assumed to go to landfill.</p>
<b>Allocations</b>	<p>Polluter Pays / Allocation by Classification</p> <p>Two allocation rules are applied:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) the raw material necessary for manufacturing is allocated to products based on complexity and product size of the declared unit</li> <li>2) the energy necessary for manufacturing is allocated to products based on complexity and product size of the declared unit</li> </ol>
<b>Impact Assessment methods</b>	<p>Potential environmental impacts are calculated with Environmental Footprint 3.0 method as implemented in SimaPro 9.1.</p> <p>Resource use values are calculated from Cumulative Energy Demand V1.11.</p>
<b>Based on LCA Report</b>	Miljögiraff report 1088 steg 4 – Livscykelanalys av fönster
<b>LCA Practitioner</b>	Viktor Hakkarainen, Miljögiraff AB
<b>Software</b>	SimaPro 9.2.0.1

The product documented within this EPD contains no substances in the REACH Candidate list. Furthermore, the product does not contain any substances from the Norwegian priority list.

The estimated impact results are only relative statements which do not indicate the end points of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins or risks.


## System Boundary

This is a Cradle to Grave with modules A+B+C+D (see Table 1 for included modules). The system boundary mean that all processes needed for raw material extraction, transport, manufacturing and disposal are included in the study. For an overview of the included processes see Figure 2.

Table 1, show an overview of the included and accounted life cycle phases.

	Product stage		Construction process stage			Use stage							End of life stage			Resource recovery stage	
	Raw material supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Construction installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction demolition	Transport	Waste processing		Disposal
<b>Module</b>	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
<b>Modules declared</b>	X	X	X	X	X	MND	X	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	X	X	X	X
<b>Geography</b>	Euro	Euro	SE	SE	SE		SE							SE	SE	SE	SE
<b>Average data variability</b>	-	<10%	<10%	-	-		-							-	-	-	-
<b>Specific data</b>	>90%					-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Figure 2, shows what is included in the different modules.



## Content and life cycle information

The product consists of 16 raw materials.  
The weight per FU and part recycled material can be seen in Table 2.

**Table 2, show the weight and part recycled material for the raw material**

Raw material	kg per m <sup>2</sup>	Post-consumer material, weight-%
Glass	25,64	9,3
Argon	0,01 Standard/ 0,02 Energy	0
Distance list	0,25	0
Edge sealing compound	0,58	0
Butyl	0,10	0
Desiccant	0,22	0
Pinewood	14,18	0
Surface treatment for pine	2,19	0
Aluminium	1,94	0
Powder coating aluminium	0,07	0
Metal handle & Miscellaneous steel parts	1,75	23
Plastic	0,18	0
Rubber EPDM	0,33	0
Glue	0,02	0
Sealant	0,03	0
Waterproofing agent	0,004	0

The wood raw material used is pine supplied by FSC-labeled and / or PEFC-labeled suppliers that glues and finger joins the wood raw material. The wood is cut and planed and processed in Elitfönster premises in Vetlanda, the finished wood details are surface treated with a water-based paint system. Elitfönster's own glass factory, IGF in Lenhovda, uses flat glass from Europe's largest glass manufacturer. IGF cuts the glass and manufactures the insulating glass. The glass is installed in the product in Elitfönster's manufacturing unit in Vetlanda. Aluminum profiles are delivered by Hydro in Vetlanda, they are processed and powder coated on A-paint in Sävsjö, then transported to Elitfönster's manufacturing unit in Vetlanda or Lenhovda for final assembly. The finished windows are packed on a wooden pallet with plywood slats and cardboard corners and plasticized with shrink plastic. The windows are transported on pallets by truck to the customer.

To produce 1 m<sup>2</sup> product, 20,2 kWh of electricity is used as well as 14,5 kWh of heat from own combustion of wood waste created during production and 0,025 kg biogas.  
Electricity is certified wind power electricity.

In total, around 20% of the total incoming raw materials becomes production waste. A large part of the waste is wood.

During usage, no indoor emissions arise. The paint used is water based and all the other raw materials do not emit any emissions.

Due to the enhanced durability of an aluminum clad window's physical properties, no change of IGU is required during the windows 50-year lifespan (Carlsson, 2009).

## Content and life cycle information

This EPD uses input data from other EPDs, the used EPDs can be viewed below:

**Table 3 Overview of utilized EPDs as input data**

Material	EPD name	EPD specifications
Uncoated glass by Pilkington	Flat glass, toughened safety glass and laminated safety glass	Sector-EPD for flat plane glas Manufacturer: Pilkington AB EPD Owner: Bundesverband Flachglas e.V. EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH Geography: Germany Publication number: M-EPD-FEV-GB-002000 Publication date: 2017-12-18
Uncoated glass by Guardian	Uncoated flat glass, laminated safety glass and coated flat glass	Manufacturer: Guardian Europé S.a.r.l. EPD Owner: Guardian Europé S.a.r.l. EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH Geography: Germany Publication number: EPD-GFEV-GB-19.2 Publication date: 2021-06-29
Distance list	TGI-Spacer M	Manufacturer: Technoform EPD Owner: Technoform EPD Author: Technoform EPD platform: INIES Geography: France Publication number: 7-333:2019 Publication date: 2019-06-15
Pine by Stora Enso	Industrial Components	Manufacturer: Stora Enso EPD Owner: Stora Enso EPD Author: Stora Enso EPD platform: The International EPD® System Geography: Sweden, Finland, Estonia, Lithuania Publication number: S-P-02154 Publication date: 2020-08-03

## Environmental Information – Outward opening Side hung window Alu – AFS

### Energy glass, weighted production sites

Potential environmental impact – mandatory indicators according to EN 15804

Some numbers are presented in scientific notation, example: 5,2E-03 equals 0,0052

Impact category	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2
<b>Climate change – Fossil</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	58,27	6,31	2,44	<b>67,03</b>	2,07	0,19	3,11
<b>Climate change – Biogenic</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	-21,65	0,02	9,96	<b>-11,67</b>	0,01	2,57	-0,57
<b>Climate change – Land use and LU change</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	0,38	0,00	0,01	<b>0,39</b>	0,00	0,00	0,18
<b>Climate change</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	37,09	6,33	12,41	<b>55,84</b>	2,07	2,75	2,72
<b>Ozone depletion</b>	kg CFC11 <sub>eq</sub>	3,55E-06	1,46E-06	2,33E-07	<b>5,24E-06</b>	4,78E-07	6,43E-09	5,64E-07
<b>Acidification</b>	mol H <sup>+</sup> <sub>eq</sub>	0,35	0,03	0,02	<b>0,40</b>	0,01	0,00	0,02
<b>Eutrophication, freshwater</b>	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	1,82E-02	4,06E-04	8,03E-04	<b>1,94E-02</b>	1,33E-04	1,30E-05	1,08E-03
<b>Eutrophication, freshwater</b>	kg PO <sub>4eq</sub>	5,58E-02	1,25E-03	2,46E-03	<b>5,96E-02</b>	4,08E-04	3,99E-05	3,30E-03
<b>Eutrophication, marine</b>	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	7,28E-02	7,77E-03	5,55E-03	<b>8,61E-02</b>	2,53E-03	2,22E-04	5,88E-03
<b>Eutrophication, terrestrial</b>	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	0,82	0,08	0,06	<b>0,96</b>	0,03	0,00	0,04
<b>Photochemical ozone formation</b>	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	1,47E-01	2,60E-02	1,81E-02	<b>1,91E-01</b>	8,45E-03	5,18E-04	1,71E-02
<b>Resource use, minerals and metals</b>	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	5,10E-04	2,19E-05	1,25E-04	<b>6,57E-04</b>	7,18E-06	1,40E-07	4,97E-05
<b>Resource use, fossils<sup>1</sup></b>	MJ	937	95	28	<b>1060,87</b>	31	1	59
<b>Water use<sup>1</sup></b>	m <sup>3</sup> depriv.	16,64	0,28	0,70	<b>17,63</b>	0,09	-0,01	24,46
<b>Particulate matter</b>	disease inc.	4,47E-06	4,38E-07	1,84E-06	<b>6,8E-06</b>	1,43E-07	4,73E-09	1,98E-07
<b>Ionising radiation</b>	kBq U-235 <sub>eq</sub>	8,21	0,49	0,30	<b>9,0</b>	0,16	0,00	0,32
<b>Ecotoxicity, freshwater<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUe	1595	74	173	<b>1843</b>	24	1	116
<b>Human toxicity, cancer<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUh	1,24E-07	2,42E-09	6,48E-09	<b>1,33E-07</b>	7,89E-10	1,06E-10	3,43E-09
<b>Human toxicity, non-cancer<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUh	1,12E-06	7,80E-08	1,71E-07	<b>1,37E-06</b>	2,56E-08	4,61E-09	8,57E-08
<b>Land use<sup>1</sup></b>	Pt	316	65	148	<b>529</b>	21	0	38

<sup>1</sup> Disclaimer 1 – The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator.

<sup>2</sup> Disclaimer 2 – This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

## Environmental Information – Outward opening Side hung window Alu – AFS

### Energy glass, weighted production sites

Potential environmental impact – mandatory indicators according to EN 15804

Some numbers are presented in scientific notation, example: 5,2E-03 equals 0,0052

Impact category	Unit	C2	C3	C4	D
<b>Climate change - Fossil</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	0,48	0,04	6,58	-14,46
<b>Climate change - Biogenic</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	0,00	0,02	14,50	-3,51
<b>Climate change - Land use and LU change</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	0,00	0,00	0,00	-0,38
<b>Climate change</b>	kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>	0,49	0,06	21,08	-18,36
<b>Ozone depletion</b>	kg CFC11 <sub>eq</sub>	1,12E-07	1,64E-09	1,74E-07	-1,39E-06
<b>Acidification</b>	mol H <sup>+</sup> <sub>eq</sub>	0,00	0,00	0,01	-0,10
<b>Eutrophication, freshwater</b>	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	3,12E-05	1,56E-05	1,72E-04	-8,16E-03
<b>Eutrophication, freshwater</b>	kg PO <sub>4eq</sub>	9,57E-05	4,78E-05	5,29E-04	-2,50E-02
<b>Eutrophication, marine</b>	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	5,92E-04	5,42E-05	2,59E-03	-1,64E-02
<b>Eutrophication, terrestrial</b>	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	0,01	0,00	0,03	-0,17
<b>Photochemical ozone formation</b>	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	1,98E-03	1,14E-04	7,31E-03	-5,56E-02
<b>Resource use, minerals and metals</b>	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	1,68E-06	6,67E-07	2,79E-06	8,25E-05
<b>Resource use, fossils<sup>1</sup></b>	MJ	7	5	13	-385
<b>Water use<sup>1</sup></b>	m <sup>3</sup> depriv.	0,02	0,06	-0,01	-2,14
<b>Particulate matter</b>	disease inc.	3,36E-08	2,26E-09	1,21E-07	-1,15E-06
<b>Ionising radiation</b>	kBq U-235 <sub>eq</sub>	0,04	0,37	0,06	-16,90
<b>Ecotoxicity, freshwater<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUe	6	2	20	-380
<b>Human toxicity, cancer<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUh	1,85E-10	4,55E-11	4,91E-09	-5,42E-08
<b>Human toxicity, non-cancer<sup>1</sup></b>	CTUh	5,99E-09	8,35E-10	4,94E-08	-5,84E-07
<b>Land use<sup>1</sup></b>	Pt	5	1	15	-274

<sup>1</sup> Disclaimer 1 – The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator.

<sup>2</sup> Disclaimer 2 – This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

## Climate impact – IPCC 2013 GWP100

	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2
GHG-GWP	Kg CO <sub>2</sub> ,eq	57,31	6,26	2,44	66,01	2,05	0,19	3,08
	Unit	C2	C3	C4	D			
GHG-GWP	Kg CO <sub>2</sub> ,eq	0,48	0,04	6,58	-14,40			

## Use of resources

	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2
PERE	MJ	132,5	1,3	75,6	<b>209,4</b>	0,4	0,0	15,2
PERM	MJ	300,2	0,0	33,4	<b>333,7</b>	0,0	0,0	0,0
PERT	MJ	432,8	1,3	109,0	<b>543,1</b>	0,4	0,0	15,2
PENRE	MJ	908,0	101,3	27,7	<b>1037,0</b>	33,2	0,6	63,9
PENRM	MJ	52,0	0,0	2,4	<b>54,4</b>	0,0	0,0	0,0
PENRT	MJ	960,0	101,3	30,1	<b>1091,4</b>	33,2	0,6	63,9
SM	Kg	6,4	0,0	0,0	<b>6,4</b>	0,0	0,0	0,0
RSF	MJ	0,0	0,0	34,5	<b>34,5</b>	0,0	0,0	0,0
NRSF	MJ	0,0	0,0	0,0	<b>0,0</b>	0,0	0,0	0,0
FW	M <sup>3</sup>	0,26	0,02	0,02	<b>0,3</b>	0,01	0,00	0,71
	Unit	C2	C3	C4	D			
PERE	MJ	0,1	2,1	0,3	-204,4			
PERM	MJ	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			
PERT	MJ	0,1	2,1	0,3	-204,4			
PENRE	MJ	7,8	5,1	14,1	-397,1			
PENRM	MJ	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			
PENRT	MJ	7,8	5,1	14,1	-397,1			
SM	Kg	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			
RSF	MJ	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			
NRSF	MJ	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			
FW	m <sup>3</sup>	0,00	0,00	0,01	-0,07			
<b>Abbreviations</b>	PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy re-sources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water							

## Waste production and output flows

### Waste production

Indicator	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	0,52	0	0	<b>0,52</b>	0	0	0
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	14,87	0	0	<b>14,87</b>	0	0	0
Radioactive waste disposed	kg	3,30E-03	0	0	<b>3,30E-03</b>	0	0	0
Indicator	Unit	C2	C3	C4	D			
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	0	0			
Exported energy, electricity	MJ	0	0	0	0			
Exported energy, thermal	MJ	0	0	0	0			

### Output flows

Indicator	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2
Components for re-use	kg	0,0E+00	0	0	0,00E+00	0	0	0
Material for recycling	kg	4,7E-02	0	3,68	3,72	0	0,01	0
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0,0E+00	0	4,12	4,12	0	1,69	0
Exported energy, electricity	MJ	4,3E-02	0	0	0,04	0	0	0
Exported energy, thermal	MJ	6,2E-02	0	0	0,06	0	0	0
Indicator	Unit	C2	C3	C4	D			
Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0			
Material for recycling	kg	0	0	2,89	0			
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	11,66	0			
Exported energy, electricity	MJ	0	0	0	0			
Exported energy, thermal	MJ	0	0	0	0			

### Information on biogenic carbon content

Results per functional or declared unit		
BIOGENIC CARBON CONTENT	Unit	QUANTITY
Biogenic carbon content in product	kg C	4,7
Biogenic carbon content in packaging	kg C	0,9

Note: 1 kg biogenic carbon is equivalent to 44/12 kg CO<sub>2</sub>.

**Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – AFS standard glass**

Use stage environmental impacts illustrates the annual environmental impacts due to the energy balance of the windows, based on Stockholm heating demand average and an energy balance formula based on the described scenario.

General information		
		Comments
Heating method according to EN 17213 annex C	District heating from natural gas	LCI dataset: Heat, central or small-scale, natural gas {RER}  market group for   Cut-off, U
Cooling method according to EN 17213 annex C	Electricity powered air cooler	LCI dataset: Electricity, low voltage {SE}  market for   Cut-off, U
Climate Zone	III	According to Swedish building standards, used climate file: "Stockholm 1981-2010" from the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute
Annual average temperature	6,6 °C	Stockholm
Min indoor temperature	21 °C	Heating stops at this temperature
Max indoor temperature	27 °C	Cooling stops at this temperature
Cooling Factor	3	kWh cooling delivered per kWh of electricity
Model (Calculation)	Single room	
Orientation	West (270°)	
Calculation method	Hourly	
Modelling program	VIP-Energy 4.3.2	Modeled as a 1 m <sup>2</sup> room with concrete flooring and no walls or internal loads
Environmental Impact assessment model	Environmental Footprint 3.0	

Technical specifications	
U-value	1,12 w/m <sup>2</sup> , K
Gg-value	60 %
Gw-value	43 %
Air leakage class	4
Air leakage flow at +/- 50 Pa	0,2 l/s,m <sup>2</sup>
Daylight factor, LT-value	75 %
Glass/frame ratio	0,71
Total heating demand	82,69 kWh heat/year
Total cooling demand	20,30 kWh electricity/year

**Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – AFS standard glass**

The results below are the environmental impacts that are presented in line with instructions from EN 17213 appendix C. It is worth noting that some units are differing from units that are presented in results for the LCA. For comparison, multiply the result below by the following factors:

Acidification: 1.31 to report kg SO<sub>2</sub>, eq as mol H<sup>+</sup>, eq

Eutrophication: 0.33 to report kg PO<sub>4</sub>-<sup>3</sup>, eq. Kg P, eq

Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential: 1.69 to report kg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, eq as kg NMVOC, eq

Yearly environmental impacts			
Environmental impact category	Unit	Environmental impacts of heating, natural gas	Environmental impacts of cooling, electricity
Global Warming Potential	kg CO <sub>2,eq</sub>	23,40	0,72
Ozone Depletion Potential	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	2,31E-06	3,52E-08
Acidification Potential	kg SO <sub>2,eq</sub>	1,94E-02	2,84E-03
Eutrophication Potential	kg PO <sub>4</sub> - <sup>3</sup> , <sub>eq</sub>	2,42E-03	1,25E-03
Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential	kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1,37E-02	1,54E-03
Abiotic Depletion Potential, minerals & metals	kg Sb, <sub>eq</sub>	2,92E-05	6,08E-05
Abiotic Depletion Potential, fuels.	MJ	332	109

**Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – AFS energy glass**

Use stage environmental impacts illustrates the annual environmental impacts due to the energy balance of the windows, based on Stockholm heating demand average and an energy balance formula based on the described scenario.

General information		
		Comments
Heating method according to EN 17213 annex C	District heating from natural gas	LCI dataset: Heat, central or small-scale, natural gas {RER}  market group for   Cut-off, U
Cooling method according to EN 17213 annex C	Electricity powered air cooler	LCI dataset: Electricity, low voltage {SE}  market for   Cut-off, U
Climate Zone	III	According to Swedish building standards, used climate file: "Stockholm 1981-2010" from the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute
Annual average temperature	6,6 °C	Stockholm
Min indoor temperature	21 °C	Heating stops at this temperature
Max indoor temperature	27 °C	Cooling stops at this temperature
Cooling Factor	3	kWh cooling delivered per kWh of electricity
Model (Calculation)	Single room	
Orientation	West (270°)	
Calculation method	Hourly	
Modelling program	VIP-Energy 4.3.2	Modeled as a 1 m <sup>2</sup> room with concrete flooring and no walls or internal loads
Environmental Impact assessment model	Environmental Footprint 3.0	

Technical specifications	
U-value	0,91 w/m <sup>2</sup> , K
Gg-value	53 %
Gw-value	38 %
Air leakage class	4
Air leakage flow at +/- 50 Pa	0,2 l/s,m <sup>2</sup>
Daylight factor, LT-value	74 %
Glass/frame ratio	0,71
Total heating demand	65,67 kWh heat/year
Total cooling demand	18,78 kWh electricity/year

**Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – AFS energy glass**

The results below are the environmental impacts that are presented in line with instructions from EN 17213 appendix C. It is worth noting that some units are differing from units that are presented in results for the LCA. For comparison, multiply the result below by the following factors:

Acidification: 1.31 to report kg SO<sub>2</sub>, eq as mol H<sup>+</sup>, eq

Eutrophication: 0.33 to report kg PO<sub>4</sub>-<sup>3</sup>, eq. Kg P, eq

Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential: 1.69 to report kg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, eq as kg NMVOC, eq

Yearly environmental impacts			
Environmental impact category	Unit	Environmental impacts of heating, natural gas	Environmental impacts of cooling, electricity
Global Warming Potential	kg CO <sub>2,eq</sub>	18,95	0,66
Ozone Depletion Potential	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	1,87E-06	3,24E-08
Acidification Potential	kg SO <sub>2,eq</sub>	1,57E-02	2,62E-03
Eutrophication Potential	kg PO <sub>4</sub> - <sup>3</sup> , <sub>eq</sub>	1,96E-03	1,15E-03
Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential	kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1,11E-02	1,42E-03
Abiotic Depletion Potential, minerals & metals	kg Sb, <sub>eq</sub>	2,36E-05	5,59E-05
Abiotic Depletion Potential, fuels.	MJ	269	100

## General information

### Programme information

**Programme:** The International EPD® System

**Address:** EPD International AB  
Box 210 60  
SE-100 31 Stockholm  
Sweden

**Website:** [www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)

**E-mail:** [info@environdec.com](mailto:info@environdec.com)

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)

**Product category rules (PCR):** Construction products and construction services. Version 1.1

**PCR review was conducted by:** PCR Committee: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, SP Trä, Swedish Wood Preservation Institute, Swedisol, SCDA, Svenskt Limträ AB, SSAB  
Moderator: Martin Erlandsson, IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute

#### Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006:

EPD process certification       EPD verification

Third party verifier: Martyna Mikusinska, Sweco, Individual verifier approved by the International EPD® System

Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier:

Yes       No

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but from different programs may not be comparable. EPDs of construction products may not be comparable if they do not comply with EN 15804. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

## References

General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System. Version 3.01.

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, "Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Core rules for the product category of construction products"

EN ISO 14025:2014-02 Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures, Edited in 2010.

EN ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework, 2006.

EN ISO 14044:2006 Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Requirements and guidelines, 2006.

ILCD International guide for life-cycle data system.  
General guide for life cycle assessment – Detailed guidance, 2010.

PCR Construction Products and Construction Services. 2019:14, version 2.33, the International EPD System, Date 2019-12-20.

PRé Consultants, "SimaPro 9.1" (PRé Consultants, 2019),  
<http://www.pre-sustainability.com/simapro>.

Ecoinvent, 'Ecoinvent' <<https://www.ecoinvent.org/database/database.html>>.

Hakkarainen Viktor, Miljögiraff AB, LCA Elitfönster Miljögiraff Report 1088 steg 4, 2022-01-10.

Brånäs Mats, Elitfönster, Certification and testing manager, [mats.branas@elitfonster.se](mailto:mats.branas@elitfonster.se)